God Logic

the Layman's entrance

By Gage Detschermitsch

Part 1

Preface Dedication

To the seeker,

who refused to call ignorance peace, who stayed awake while the world dozed off, who dared to believe that truth isn't whatever you make it; but something greater waiting to be lived.

To the one who asked hard questions when easy answers echoed louder. To the soul that sat in silence, unmoved by trends, while listening for the voice that speaks before all voices.

To the weary thinker who wrestled with contradictions, and still chose to believe that harmony exists beyond confusion.

To the heart who knew that being "right" means nothing if it isn't rooted in righteousness; and that winning the argument isn't worth losing your soul.

To the pilgrim who stumbled toward the Light, not because it was fashionable, but because nothing else could explain the darkness.

This book is for you.

Because the best opinion isn't just clever, loud, or popular.

It's true, and Truth still calls out to be known.

Chapter 1:

Why Any Opinion Isn't Equal in Value

Truth exists. That's why not every opinion carries the same weight.

If you say 1 + 1 = 6, or that toe + pineapple = umbrella, you're not just being imaginative—you're being inaccurate. It may sound playful, even poetic, but it's not true. Now say 2 + 2 = 4. That lands differently. It fits something. It satisfies logic. It doesn't just sound like it works; it works. That's what truth does: it fits.

So when it comes to opinions, one will always align more closely with the truth than the others. Always.

Not all opinions are created equal because not all opinions are pointing toward the same thing. Some are trying to win. Some are trying to impress. Some are trying to protect pride. But only a few; and these are rare; are trying to hit the target: truth.

An opinion only matters if it's aiming at the truth.

Think of it like this:

If 1 + 1 = 2, that's a useful conclusion.

But if someone argues 1 + 1 = 70, you wouldn't say, "Well, everyone's entitled to their opinion." You'd say, "That's just wrong." Why? Because the value of an opinion depends on how well it matches reality.

Here's an example: You have one apple. You add another apple. Now you have two. That's basic logic. But the usefulness of those two apples depends on a reason. If you're alone, you just have two apples. But if you brought one for a friend, now the truth of 2 is more than a number; it's love in action.

Though it seems we measure truth or opinion by its usefulness, what is useful to one isn't always useful to another; this makes usefulness useless.

Opinions work the same way. They're not just meant to be stated or looked at based on usefulness. They're meant to be learned, lived, and kept.

We don't form opinions in a vacuum; we form them in a world that runs on reality. You can ignore that reality for a while; but eventually; reality challenges the opinion. And when it does, the only

opinions worth anything are the ones built on what is, not what we wish it was.

So here's a simple way to think about it:

An opinion that resists truth becomes an obstacle. An opinion that aligns with truth becomes a bridge.

This chapter is the first step toward learning to build bridges.

You're going to hear a lot of opinions in life; on love, on God, on politics, on identity, on right and wrong. But if you learn to measure them not by volume or popularity, but by their proximity to truth, you'll start to see through noise like a beam of light.

Not every opinion is equal.

But every truth-seeker is equal in their right to pursue the best one.

And the best opinion is always the one that dares to say, "I want to be wrong to lies, if it means I'll end up right to truth."

Why "Your Truth" Isn't the Truth

There's a phrase that has become popular:

"Live your truth."

It sounds empowering; like freedom in a sentence.

But if you look closely, it hides a dangerous flaw.

You can live your experience.

You can live your perspective.

You can even live your assumption.

But you cannot live **your truth**; unless *your truth* **is** *the* truth. Or else you are just living a truthful lie.

Truth Isn't Invented. It's Discovered.

Let's say someone tells you:

"I've discovered the solution to x."

Naturally I ask, "What made you go look for it?"

Because there had to be something; a problem, a contradiction, a disruption; that led them to seek a real answer.

You don't solve for x unless x already exists.

You solve for *x* when you need its solution.

You don't discover an island that isn't there. Especially if you already know where the island is.

And you don't invent truth. You uncover it. Or you never had it to begin with.

Truth isn't something we author.

It's something we meet; and must either accept or deny.

To deny one version is to accept its opposite; there is no neutral ground.

When neutrality is denial.

That means if you claim to have the truth but never went looking for it...

chances are, you just grabbed a response and called it an answer.

The Problem with "Your Truth"

Let's be clear: experience matters.

People really do feel pain. People really do carry scars, hopes, fears, and stories that can't be dismissed.

But experience is not the same as truth.

And the opinion we form from experience is not always truth either.

Your experience may be true.

But that doesn't mean your view of it is.

For example:

You might have experienced betrayal.

You might conclude, "No one can be trusted."

That conclusion becomes *your* truth.

But that's not **the** truth. It's a wound; mistaken for wisdom. You can live your whole life assuming your truth is the final word... and still miss the actual one.

Response ≠ **Answer**

One of humanity's deepest problems is that we've learned to treat a **response** as if it were an **answer**.

We say things like:

"Well, that's just how I see it."

"That's my truth."

"Let's agree to disagree."

"Such-and-such person just wants to argue."

"That doesn't make their opinion better than mine."

"Everyone's entitled to their own opinion."

"It's not hurting anyone."

"If all opinions are bad, I don't need to change mine."

"If I don't accept your premise, I don't have to address your premise."

"Well, it's just common sense."

These are just a small amount of responses. They may feel valid perhaps even profound; but they don't resolve anything.

Imagine asking someone the answer to 2 + 2, and they say:

"I feel like it's 5, because I've always felt misunderstood."

That might be sincere.

But it's not correct.

Experience colors the journey.

But truth defines the destination.

The Game of Towers

Imagine a game where players are stacking wooden blocks, trying to build the greatest tower.

Each player boasts as their tower grows.

Higher and higher the stacks go; crooked, unstable, yet impressive to the eye.

Everyone cheers. Everyone competes.

The goal seems clear: build taller than the rest.

But the higher the towers get, the more obvious it becomes; they're going to fall.

Not just one. Not just a few.

All of them.

It becomes undeniable:

The very act of reaching higher, leads to demise.

And then the Maker of the game arrives; walking past the tall, teetering structures and says gently, "You misunderstood the rules."

The people are confused. Some argue. Some grow quiet.

Then the Maker walks to the side, where a child has stacked just three wood blocks.

Perfectly aligned. Firm. Fitted together with care.

The child is not trying to win.

They are playing; recklessly; on top of their little structure. Unafraid of it breaking beneath their playful feet.

It isn't tall. But it's solid.

The Maker kneels beside the child and says,

"This is the greatest tower, Not because it's tall,

but because it is strong. Not because it fits the definition of great,

but because you can play on it, and it doesn't break." The maker turns to the competitors saying "The purpose that the structure we build ourselves on is this: all life is meant for the test of play and hurt."

And so the Game Maker joined and danced on the structure, with the tower of three builder. And those who saw too: danced with the maker on their: rebuilt, great, towers.

Why Truth Must Be Shared to Be True

Here's another sign that "your truth" might not be **the** truth:

If it can't be shared, tested, or understood by others, it probably isn't complete.

When you find something real; something truly true; it begins to reveal itself to others.

Truth doesn't isolate.

It invites.

Okay, now, Return to solving x:

If your solution is correct, and someone else approaches *x* with the same tools and logic, they should arrive at the same result.

But if your "truth" sends everyone in different directions when tested by the same method, then what you've got isn't truth.

It's internal confirmation; or a reflection of error.

In other words, we can reflect the truth while arriving at the wrong conclusion. Or reflect it rightly, and reveal what was always there.

The Danger of Almost-True

Some of the most dangerous lies in the world are wrapped in 99% truth.

That's what makes them convincing and seemingly definite.

A principle might be 99% true; but if it's not completely true, and you build your life on it, you're setting yourself up for collapse.

What is subjectively true but objectively false: is objectively false.

What is subjectively false but objectively true is absolutely true.

Examples:

"I deserve happiness."

→ Mostly true. But if it becomes a demand, it blinds you to sacrifice, love, discipline, and truth.

"No one else can tell me what to believe." → True in the sense of free will. But it forgets that belief doesn't create truth—truth informs belief. Truth is narrow not because it's cruel; but because it's real.

Two opposing truths cannot both be true in the same way at the same time.

Truth Doesn't Begin in the Self

It's a Revelation Beyond Us.

Modern culture treats truth like fashion: Custom-fit, ever-shifting, self-defined.

But truth isn't an outfit you pick in the morning.

It's a revelation you wake up to.

It shows you where you've been wrong. It humbles you. It corrects your vision, And it rewards you with freedom.

At the core:

You don't need *your truth* to survive.

You need the truth;

to exist,

to survive,

and to truly, live out truth.

Final Thought: The Path to Real Truth

If you can recognize that *your truth* might not be the whole truth, then you've already taken the first step toward something greater.

Real truth doesn't silence your story.

It elevates it.

It doesn't erase your experiences.

It redeems them.

Most importantly; Who the Truth is, doesn't trap you in your own perspective. He invites you into a reality big enough for everyone to stand in. A room prepared so to speak. The truth isn't yours. It belongs to **Them**: the **Trinity**.

And They call us to follow **YHWH**, not just in this world, but beyond it.

Because truth is what happens when experience meets revelation and is repaired: not broken. And when that happens, you'll know: You're not just living your truth.
You're living **by** The Truth.

Why It's More Important to Be Righteous Than to Be Right

Being right and being righteous are not the same thing.

One is about facts.

The other is about alignment.

One can be wielded as a weapon.

The other is worn as armor.

In a world that idolizes being "right," righteousness has become misunderstood. But here's the truth:

Righteousness is not just having correct answers.

It's being correct in how; and why; you live.

It means aligning your principles with truth, not just your words.

It means your heart matches your logic.

It means your *intent* is as pure as your *argument*.

You Can Win an Argument, and Still Be Wrong

Let's be honest: it feels good to be right.

To have the better comeback.

To corner someone in debate.

To walk away thinking, "I made my point."

But there's a problem.

A fallacy can still win the fight.

Fallacies are broken patterns of thinking that *sound* reasonable; but aren't. For example:

Appealing to popularity: "Everyone believes it, so it must be true."

Attacking the person: "You're just saying that because you're bitter."

Appeal to emotion as proof: "It hurt me, therefore it must be wrong."

These feel persuasive. They're even used in politics, media, and religion. But they don't bring us to truth. They bring us to division and ego. Worse still: some people know they're using fallacies. Others don't.

But both can win the argument; without ever touching the truth.

That's why "being right" by itself means nothing.

Even a liar can sound smart.

The Danger of Loveless Truth

Now imagine you actually *are* right. Your facts are in order. Your logic is airtight but...you speak without love, you humiliate the other person, you ignore their pain, you win the debate, but they walk away further from truth; not closer.

That's not righteousness.

That's self-righteousness.

Rightness without righteousness creates pride.

And pride, no matter how "correct" it sounds, is a lie in disguise.

Scripture puts it plainly: "Though I speak with the tongues of angels and have not love, I am nothing."

Being right without love, humility, and justice makes you wrong in the harmony of truth. Like playing a correct note in the wrong song; it may be technically accurate, but it **still ruins the music.**

Why Righteousness Is the Real Rightness

Righteousness isn't about ego; it's about echo.

It means your words echo what is good, what is just, what is merciful.

Not just what is technically correct.

It's when you care more about the *soul* of a person than about winning against them. It's when you're willing to **lose the debate**, **if it helps the other person hear the truth more clearly**.

This is what separates godly wisdom from worldly cleverness.

Truth is not just an answer on a test.

It's a way of life that must be lived honestly, humbly, and consistently.

You don't just "believe" truth with your brain; you walk it out with your body, heart, and habits.

Righteousness Speaks in a Different Tone

When you are righteous, your tone changeseven when you're right. You become slower to speak, quicker to listen. You stop talking "at" people and start talking "with" them. You ask questions not to trap; but to invite. You care **more about their transformation than your reputation.**

Even when someone is wrong, righteousness refuses to mock or shame. Because **the goal is not to** "defeat" someone; it's to defeat the lie that harms them.

That's what YHWH Logic is really about, not intellectual games, not "owning" someone with knowledge. But *living* in such a way that truth is undeniable; because it's beautiful and just and patient in how it speaks.

The Final Word: Right or Righteous?

You will face moments where you are provably right.

But ask yourself:

"Am I helping this person reach truth; or just proving I have it?"

"Am I reflecting truth; or am I using it to dominate?"

"Am I speaking with the Spirit; or just flexing my mind?"

To be "right" is easy. To be **righteous**; that takes humility, love, justice, and truth working in harmony. And that's the path worth walking. Because in the end, **truth doesn't just want to be declared.**

It wants to be shown.

And righteousness is what shows it best.

Part 2

Preface Dedication

To the one who wanted more than feelings; who wanted foundation.

To the one who stopped spinning in the whirlwind of opinions, and dared to ask,

"Is there a way to think that leads to what's true?" To the one who realized truth isn't loud; it's clear. And clarity doesn't come by chance.

It's chosen.

To the one who traded vague beliefs for tested logic, who learned that patterns speak louder than pride, and that conclusions mean nothing if you don't know how you got there.

To the one who stopped using "neutrality" as a disguise, and took responsibility for what they believed.

To the one who saw that truth doesn't just *exist*: it invites.

And to the one who accepted that righteousness is not a feeling, but a structure: one that begins with seeking, is measured by word, and is proven by consequence.

This part is for you.

Because the truth-seeker isn't just someone who wants truth.

It's someone who learns how to think in a way that can receive it.

And keep it.

And live it.

You're not just building opinions anymore.

You're building a mind that aligns with what is real.

Let's begin.

How to Form a Logical Opinion on Any Topic

We live in a world full of voices.

Some whisper, some shout.

Some are decorated in confidence, others in confusion.

But the question isn't whether you'll form an opinion.

It's how you'll form it, and why.

Everyone has thoughts.

But not everyone has *reason*.

And while emotion might tell you where it hurts,

only logic can tell you what it means.

Only logic can ask: "Does what I think actually stand on something real?"

Step 1: Ask the Right Question

Every logical opinion begins not with an answer, but a question.

The wrong question invites pride.

The right question invites truth. Not "How do I prove I'm right?". But "What is actually right, even if I'm not?"

Start there.

Ask questions that aim *outside* yourself.

Truth doesn't orbit your ego. It exists beyond it.

A good question holds a mirror to the world, not just your emotions.

Examples:

Not "How can I win this argument?" but "What principle is truly at stake?"

Not "What do people think?" but "What actually is?"

Clarity always begins with asking better questions.

Step 2: Apply Logic, Not Just Reasoning

Logic is not just "thinking hard."

It's the art of right thinking; the ability to travel from premise to conclusion without violating truth.

Here's the basic order:

Question → **Logic** → **Conclusion**

If your conclusion doesn't follow from your premise, then no matter how strong your belief is, it's not sound.

Imagine building a bridge. If the supports don't align, it doesn't matter how well you paved the road. You won't make it to the other side.

Your logic must align like a structure:

Begin with what is known (not assumed).

Build toward what must follow.

Arrive at what therefore is.

This is not cold thinking; it is *honest* thinking. It doesn't mean you lack emotion. It means you care enough about truth to test your feelings **before** trusting them.

Step 3: Discern Pattern, Purpose, Consequence

Truth leaves trails. So do lies.

If your opinion fits a pattern that contradicts itself; don't defend it, examine it.

Ask:Does this thought align with something that already proves true elsewhere? Does this belief help fulfill something good; or justify something bad?

If everyone followed this opinion, what would the consequence be? If your belief leads to chaos when universally applied; it's not built on truth. Truth works not just for *you*; but for all who walk in it.

Step 4: Don't Assume Neutrality, Assume Responsibility

Too many people claim to be "neutral" while casually holding harmful ideas.

Neutrality is often just passivity with a clean face.

You are always *building* something with your opinions; a bridge, a wall, or a trap.

So be honest: You are not neutral. You are responsible.

Take ownership of what you think.

Be willing to follow truth wherever it leads; even if it offends your starting point. Don't say "I'm just being neutral" when you're actually avoiding responsibility.

Instead, say "I want what is true; even if it changes me."

That's not neutrality. That's integrity.

Step 5: Speak Honestly and Accurately, Or Not at All

Once your opinion is formed by logic, you face one more test: Will you speak it with *truthful intent*?

Don't twist facts to win points.

Don't exaggerate to feel powerful.

Don't reduce an opponent's position just to destroy a straw version of it.

Speak with clarity, not cruelty.

With courage, not control.

If you must say something, let it be something that invites truth forward; not just ego.

And if you're not sure... say less.

Truth doesn't mind silence.

It minds distortion.

Final Thought: The Mind That Loves Truth

A logical opinion is not the same as a correct one.

Even sound thinking can be mistaken.

But here's the difference:

The logical thinker is willing to be corrected.

The prideful thinker demands to be agreed with.

So form your opinions with these goals:

To find what's true, not just what's comfortable.

To test your assumptions, not protect them.

To speak what's real, not what's trendy. Because in the end, forming a logical opinion isn't just about ideas.

It's about becoming the kind of a person who would rather be right with truth than be right in appearance.

And that is where wisdom begins.

How to Tell the Difference Between "My Truth" and The Truth

Everyone has a story. Everyone has scars, insights, convictions, opinions. But not everyone has *truth*.

In today's culture, "your truth" has become a kind of armor; used to silence challenge, deflect correction, and justify any position as valid so long as it's sincerely felt.

But sincerity does not equal reality.

And feeling does not equal fact. "My truth" may be a reflection of your experience; But *the* truth is the foundation of reality itself. And if the two don't align; you don't fix the foundation: you fix the mirror.

Self-Awareness Test: Is This True to a Limit, or Is It Completely True?

Here's a question that can expose the gap between your truth and *the* truth:

Is this belief true for everyone; at all times; or only under certain conditions? If it's only true under certain conditions, or to a point, then it isn't truth in the highest sense. It's a temporary alignment. A reflection. A localized fact, not a universal one.

Example:

"I have to protect myself. People can't be trusted." \rightarrow That may have been true in a moment of trauma. \rightarrow But does it hold *completely*, for *everyone*?

If not, you're looking at a *partial* truth. A true experience; but not a universal principle. "My truth" may be emotionally valid, but that doesn't make it objectively reliable.

The question is not, "Is this true for me?

The question is, "Is this true for reality itself?"

Do You Deny Reality to Protect Your Sub-Reality?

Sometimes, in order to maintain our version of truth, we have to *shut out* real things. People. Patterns. Principles. Data. Warnings. Consequences.

If your belief system forces you to ignore what's actually happening: you're not walking in truth.

You're building a sub-reality.

A **sub-reality** is a mental space where contradictions are ignored for comfort.

Where convenience replaces conviction.

Where anything that threatens "your truth" is labeled offensive, judgmental, or intolerant.

But real truth doesn't hide from challenge.

It welcomes it; because it has nothing to fear.

Ask yourself:

"Do I have to shut my eyes to hold on to this?"

"Do I have to villainize others to maintain my position?"

"Am I using this belief to escape reality, or to engage it more honestly?"

If your answer exposes fear, avoidance, or manipulation... then what you're defending isn't truth. It's attachment. And no attachment is worth trading truth for.

"My Truth" Without Humility Becomes Ignorance

There's nothing wrong with personal insight.

"My truth" can express something real, so long as it bows before the truth when confronted.

But the moment you stop being willing to question "your truth"...

it stops being insight and becomes ignorance.

Humility is what separates a learner from a denier.

It says:

"This is how I see it, but I might be wrong."

"This is what I've experienced, but I'm open to greater clarity."

"This is what I feel, but I want to know what's real."

If you're unwilling to test what you believe, you aren't protecting truth; you're protecting pride. And the more you defend a belief just because it's yours, the more blind you become to anything better.

Truth doesn't demand blind loyalty.

It demands open pursuit.

Real Truth Can Be Carried by Anyone

You don't have to be rich, famous, powerful, or educated to carry truth.

You just have to be *humble* enough to let go of lies.

And sometimes the most dangerous lies aren't the ones told to us.

They're the ones we told ourselves, then locked away.

Here's how to know when you're approaching real truth: It humbles you before it empowers you. It corrects you before it affirms you. It makes you less concerned about "winning" and more concerned about *aligning*. It doesn't make you think you're better than others; it makes you want to serve them.

"My truth" says, "Don't question me."

The truth says, "Let's find out what's real, even if it changes me."

Final Thought: From Mine to His

The most freeing moment in any journey toward truth is when you stop clinging to what you want to believe; and begin asking what *He* wants you to see.

The truth is not an abstraction. It's not a concept to be owned. It's a *Person* to be followed.

Jesus didn't say,
"I know the truth."
He said,
"I am the Truth."

That means every truth-seeking journey must lead us back to the Source. And when we stop guarding "my truth" and surrender to *His*, we don't lose ourselves.

We finally find who we were meant to be. Because my truth ends with me.

But His Truth never ends.

And that's the kind you can build your life on.

Why Righteousness Is What Makes You Right

Some people are "right" all the time.

They win debates.

They memorize facts.

They speak with confidence and correction.

But something is missing.

Their rightness doesn't make peace.

It creates distance.

It doesn't heal. It hardens.

Because being *right* isn't the highest aim.

Being righteous is.

Being Right vs. Being Righteous

To be right is to say something that aligns with a fact.

To be righteous is to live something that aligns with goodness, justice, and truth.

You can be technically right; and still be spiritually wrong.

You can say true things for proud reasons.

You can quote facts to shame, not to save.

You can correct someone and still do it cruelly.

That's not righteousness.

That's ego dressed in logic.

Righteousness Aligns with the Good, Not Just the Accurate

Accuracy alone doesn't make something true in the deepest sense. Pharisees were "accurate" in quoting Scripture. But they were wrong; because they were unrighteous, and did not live by the purpose of the law.

The truth isn't just a position: it's a personality.

It must reflect who God is, not just what God said.

And God is not cold precision.

He is mercy, justice, humility, and holiness.

If your opinions are "right,"

but they don't lead to reconciliation, healing, or light...

then they are not yet righteous.

Pride vs. Peace

Rightness without righteousness always leads to pride, and it says:

"I win because I'm smarter."

"I speak, and you listen."

"I'll destroy your argument and prove my power."

"I am the standard."

But righteousness leads to peace, and It says:

"Let's reason together."

"Even if I'm right, I want to love you well."

"I'll correct only when correction brings healing."

"I want truth to rule, not my voice."

Pride uses truth to rise above. Righteousness uses truth to come *under*; to lift others up. You know someone is righteous when being around them doesn't just make you feel corrected...It makes you feel *invited to truth*.

The Order of Truth: Structure → Word → Consequence

God always builds in order:

- 1. **Structure**: The design: what is good, right, and ordered.
- 2. Word: The expression: what communicates that order.
- 3. **Consequence**: The fruit: what naturally results from alignment or rebellion.

In other words:

Righteousness honors structure: God's moral reality.

It speaks the Word in love: God's language of truth.

And it embraces the consequence: peace if honored, judgment if defied.

But if you speak a true *word* without honoring *structure*, you create confusion. And if you manipulate consequence to avoid discomfort, you have broken the entire chain.

Righteousness is not just about truth being said. It's about truth being structured, spoken, and lived rightly.

Example: Two People Speaking Truth

Person A says the truth with a smirk. Their tone is cutting. Their goal is to win.

Person B says the same truth gently. Their heart is open. Their goal is to free.

Both are "right." Only one is righteous.

Final Thought: Let Truth Have a Heart

Truth is a sword.

But righteousness is the hand that holds it well.

Without righteousness, truth becomes a weapon.

With righteousness, truth becomes a witness.

Righteousness doesn't make you more right than others.

It makes you more aligned with the One who is Rightness Himself.

That's why Scripture says,

"The effect of righteousness shall be peace." (Isaiah 32:17)

And where there is no peace, there may be knowledge, but there is no wisdom.

Because in the eyes of God:

rightness without righteousness is wrong.

Part 3

Preface Dedication

To the one who didn't stop at knowing about truth; but wanted to live it.

To the one who saw that opinion isn't enough, and that thinking isn't the same as understanding, and understanding isn't the same as wisdom; and wisdom isn't wisdom until it's tested.

To the one who took truth out of theory and brought it into conversation.
Into failure.
Into forgiveness.
Into real decisions,
where answers aren't just written,
they're lived.

To the one who felt the tug-of-war between what is popular and what is right, between what feels good and what *is* good, between what's easy to say and what's hard to prove.

And still chose the hard road; because truth deserves a life that reflects it.

To the one who looked at "gut instinct" and asked, "Is that wisdom or fear?"

To the one who looked at "my truth" and asked, "Does this bless anyone but me?"

To the one who looked at the pain of injustice and asked, "How can I forgive without betraying what's right?"

To the one who wrestled with God in the quiet places, and learned that truth isn't just a sword to fight with; **it's a cross to carry.**

To the one who came to the end of self-confidence, and found something better than self-affirmation:

truth-confirmation.

This part is for you.

Because the final step of knowing truth is letting it transform the way you live, the way you speak, and the way you love.

You are no longer just thinking. You are becoming.

And righteousness is not just something you align with; it's something that now aligns you.

Should I Trust My Gut?

A Case Study on Blind Faith vs. Logical Faith

There's a question that comes up all the time when someone faces a hard choice: "Should I trust my gut?" It sounds reasonable. Even noble. It's often seen as being "authentic" or "true to yourself."

But is it wise?

Let's break this down using what you've already learned from **Chapters 1 and 4**. We're going to form a **logical opinion** about this idea using the step-by-step process of *YHWH Logic faith*.

Step 1: Identify the Question

What are we really asking when we say, "Should I trust my gut?"

We're asking: Is my instinct a reliable source of truth? This is not just an emotional question. It's a **logical one**. Because the answer will determine not only **what** we do, but **why** we do it; and whether our actions rest on truth or just feelings.

Step 2: Define the Two Positions

Let's compare two common responses:

A. Blind Faith (in Self):

"My gut has always gotten me through. If it feels right, it must be right." This is experience-based and emotion-driven. It prioritizes instinct; often without testing the foundation it stands on.

B. Logical Faith (YHWH Logic):

"Feelings can start the journey, but truth must finish it. My instinct must be tested by reason and righteousness." This position doesn't throw instinct away; it places it on trial. It asks, "Is this impulse pointing toward truth, or away from it?"

Step 3: Trace the Logic of Both Positions

Let's follow the pattern, purpose, and consequence of each response.

Blind Faith in Instinct

Pattern:

React first, reflect later. Elevate feeling over testing. Equate comfort or urgency with correctness.

Purpose (spoken or unspoken):

Self-trust. Self-protection. Self-assertion.

Consequences:

May "feel right" in the moment, but leads to regrets, emotional instability, damaged relationships, and missed truth.

Conclusion:

Instinct becomes the judge, jury, and executioner of decisions;

but instinct can be deceived, distorted, or wounded.

If your gut is trained by trauma, then your truth will follow it into error.

Logical Faith: Using YHWH(God) Logic

Pattern:

Begin with the instinct.

Submit it to Truth.

Let the Truth confirm, correct, or redirect your feelings.

Purpose:

Alignment with something greater than self. Humble reasoning before acting. Seeking what is right, not just what feels right.

Consequences:

Builds integrity. Produces better decisions over time.

Honors both your emotions and your mind under the authority of truth.

Conclusion:

Instinct may start the fire, but truth builds the house.

This is logical faith.

It doesn't fear emotion; but it doesn't worship it either.

Step 4: Apply the Filter of Righteousness

Let's say your gut says:

"Cut them out of your life. They disrespected you." Sounds clean. Final. Even empowering. But now

apply YHWH(God) Logic:

What does truth say about reconciliation?

What does righteousness say about mercy?

What does wisdom say about timing, communication, and humility?

If the action satisfies your anger but violates the truth; your gut isn't guiding you. It's betraying you.

Being right requires being righteous.

Step 5: Remember the Structure

Every belief we form sits in a structure.

That structure has three parts:

Structure → Word/action → Consequence

If your structure is: "My feelings define truth," then your words will justify yourself. And your consequences will be chaos.

But if your structure is: "Truth defines my choices, even when I'm afraid," then your words will be accountable, and your consequences will strengthen; not scatter; your soul.

A Real-World Example

Imagine a man in a crisis of faith. He's been hurt by a church.

His gut says: "God doesn't love me. I feel abandoned."

If he trusts that gut as **truth**, he may walk away from faith altogether. But if he treats that gut as a **signal** and tests it with logic and righteousness, he may discover something deeper:

"God didn't hurt me; lies did. But that doesn't mean God isn't Truth or good." This is the power of logical faith:

not denying your pain, but disciplining it to walk toward the truth.

Final Thought: Trust the Gut When the Gut Trusts God

The gut is not the enemy. But it's also not the guide.

It's a voice; one among many.

Sometimes it speaks from intuition, and Sometimes it echoes old wounds.

But it was never meant to lead alone.

When your gut is trained by Truth, when your feelings are filtered through righteousness, when your instincts are shaped by wisdom; then yes, you can trust your gut.

Because now your gut isn't chasing truth. It's relational with the Truth.

You've just formed a logical opinion.

From question \rightarrow to logic \rightarrow to conclusion.

You didn't just react.

You thought through the pattern.

You weighed the consequence.

You honored the truth.

This is what a truth-seeker does.

And now,

you're not just someone who thinks. You're someone who knows how to think right.

Can Someone Really Live Their Own Truth?

A Case Study on Subjective Experience vs Objective Reality

There's a modern anthem that says:

"Live your truth." It sounds bold. It sounds freeing. And in some ways, it's understandable. After all, no one else can live your life. No one else knows every scar, every fear, every hope, every story. So isn't it fair to say that what's true for you; is your truth? The answer, if you've been walking with this book, is both yes... and no.

Subjectively: Yes.

You can live your truth.

You can act according to what you believe. You can shape your life by your personal experience. You can construct an entire identity around what seems right to you.

But this kind of truth is **subjective truth**.

It's true to your experience.

True to your pain.

True to your point of view.

But it's only true for you.

And that's the problem.

Because reality doesn't change just because you believe something strongly.

Which brings us to the second part:

Objectively: No.

You cannot live your own truth as if it were the truth.

Truth isn't a personal flavor.

It's a standard that stands above all people at all times.

You can believe a lie.

You can live a lie.

You can even die for a lie.

But none of that turns it into truth.

Applying Blind Faith vs Logical Faith

Three Major Moral Issues

To see this clearly, let's walk through three very real and personal topics.

Each one shows how **subjective experience** can point toward truth; but only if we don't stop at the experience.

We must apply logic, righteousness, and responsibility.

Let's see how **blind faith** (based on personal truth) compares with **logical faith** (based on YHWH Logic).

Moral Issue 1: "Love Is Love"

Blind Faith:

"I love this person. No one else gets to define that. So our relationship must be right." This begins in sincerity. It's an appeal to emotional experience.

But it skips over the question of design, purpose, and consequence. It says: "My truth is enough."

Logical Faith:

"Love is real, but what kind of love is it? Is it sacrificial? Is it ordered? Does it align with how we were made?" This position honors the emotion; but tests it. It doesn't condemn love. It refines it. Because if love is real, it will survive the fire of truth.

And truth will ask:

"Is your love building someone's soul; or just satisfying desire?"

Moral Issue 2: "It's My Body, My Choice"

Blind Faith:

"I get to decide what happens to my body. No one else has the right to tell me otherwise." This sounds empowering; especially in the context of trauma, shame, or control. But it assumes something:

That the body is the highest authority, and autonomy is the highest good.

Logical Faith:

"My body is real; but so is life. If another body is inside mine, is it mine to remove? Does my authority cancel out theirs?" This is not about condemnation. It's about consideration.

Truth doesn't dismiss pain. But neither does it stop with pain. It asks: "What is good? What is righteous? What is real?" And if truth means protecting the smallest among us; then love, even in

suffering, will require courage.

Not for a slogan. But for life.

Moral Issue 3: "This Is Just Who I Am"

Blind Faith:

"This is my identity. You can't tell me who I'm supposed to be. I know my truth." This often arises from deep hurt or confusion. It's a cry to be seen. To be accepted. But it can become a prison of

self-definition.

The danger isn't in feeling different. The danger is believing that truth bends to fit you; instead of

the other way around.

Logical Faith:

"I feel a lot of things. But who defines who I am? Do I make myself? Or was I made with purpose?" This view doesn't erase pain; it re-anchors it. It says: "Maybe my experience matters, but only the

One who made me can tell me what I was made for."

Because real identity isn't something you declare. It's something you discover. And it's only

discovered when you surrender your "truth" to the One who is Truth.

Where Truth and Experience Part Ways

Every one of us is shaped by our experiences.

And many of those experiences scream out for attention:

"This is who I am!"

"This is how I survived!"

"This is why I can't change!"

But truth is not a mirror of our survival. It is the path to transformation. Sometimes, your truth will keep you wounded. The Truth will make you whole. Sometimes, your truth will build walls. The Truth will build bridges. Sometimes, your truth will help you feel seen.

The Truth will help you see clearly.

Where They Can Meet in Love

So how do we bring experience and truth together?

We don't ignore the personal.

But we don't worship it either.

We listen to experience.

Then we **lead** it toward something higher.

We honor someone's story.

But we don't let their story rewrite what truth is.

We let **love** be the meeting place.

But not the kind of love that just affirms whatever someone wants to believe.

Real love speaks truth gently—

Even when it costs something.

Even when it hurts.

Even when it offends.

Why?

Because only the truth can set a person free.

And love wants freedom more than applause.

Final Thought: Living "Your Truth" or Living The Truth?

You can live your truth.

You can die with it.

Experience matters. Feelings matter. Wounds matter. But none of them are a good place to build your house. Truth is the foundation. And if your experience is real, it will not be erased by the truth. It will be redeemed by it. Don't just live your truth. Live the one that lives beyond you. The one that came before you. The one that loved you into being. The Truth is a Person. And He doesn't just want your opinion. He wants your trust. And trust begins the moment you say: "My truth might be real, but it might not be right." That's not doubt, that's the first act of faith.

But only The Truth leads you through death to life.

Why Should I Forgive Someone Who Doesn't Deserve It?

A Case Study on Righteousness vs Justice

There's hardly a more difficult command in all of life than this:

"Forgive them."

Especially when they don't apologize. Especially when they keep hurting you. Especially when they seem to *deserve* your silence more than your grace.

So why **forgive**? Why not hold the grudge? Why not let justice demand its due? Because forgiveness isn't just a feeling. It's a reflection of something higher. It's not about whether they deserve it.

It's about whether *you* are aligned with righteousness, and whether or not, you are **reflecting loving** character.

Justice Wants Fairness.

Righteousness Wants Restoration.

Let's make this simple: **Justice**, when left to human reasoning, says:

"You did this. You owe me. I will repay your actions with equal pain or rejection." And in many cases, justice *feels* right. It appeals to logic. It gives closure, and It sets a scale; making sure both sides pay what's due. But **righteousness** doesn't stop there.

Righteousness says:"You did this. But I will not become like you in response."

"You hurt me. But I refuse to let your sin write the story."

"You owe me. But I owe the One who forgave me of far more, for far less."

Forgiveness Is Not the Same as Excusing Evil.

Let's be clear, to forgive someone does **not** mean: You say what they did was okay, you pretend it didn't hurt, You deny your boundaries, or permit them to continue hurting you.

Forgiveness isn't surrender to evil.

It's freedom from evil.

It doesn't erase the harm.

It refuses to let the harm define you.

To forgive is to say:

"You(the lie) don't get to control my heart anymore."

It's a choice of alignment; not with your feelings, but with **the higher order** of love, truth, and justice as God defines them.

The Illusion of Fairness

Let's face it:

Fairness feels good. Until it becomes a cycle of hurt.

"They hit me, so I hit them."

"They lied, so I cut them off."

"They forgot me, so I'll never remember them again."

We call it balance. But it's really **bondage**, It keeps us chained to someone else's failure. But Righteousness says:

"I break the cycle. I won't repay wrong with wrong. I'll rise above it." It's not weakness, It's a strength the world doesn't understand.

When Being Righteous Doesn't Feel Right

Let's admit something:

Being righteous doesn't always feel good.

Sometimes it feels like losing.

Sometimes it feels like foolishness.

Sometimes it feels like letting the other person "win."

But righteousness doesn't measure success by the scoreboard.

It measures it by alignment.

Are you standing with the good?

Are you standing with the truth?

Are you echoing the mercy that was shown to you?

If yes, then even if it hurts, even if no one sees it but God, even if it costs you something; you are right in the eyes that matter most.

The Definition of Martyrdom

A martyr is someone who suffers (or even dies) rather than betray what they believe is true.

Not all martyrs are religious.

But in the deepest sense, true martyrdom is when a person holds fast to righteousness; even when the world mocks it, abandons it, or punishes them for it.

Forgiveness often feels like a *small martyrdom*. You're sacrificing your "right" to revenge.

You're letting go of your anger.

You're choosing peace over pride. But what rises in its place isn't weakness.

It's moral clarity.

You see the person not just for what they did; but for what they've become because of what was done to them.

And even if you can't reconcile with them... you've already reconciled yourself to the Truth.

YHWH Logic in Forgiveness

Let's walk through how forgiveness aligns with YHWH Logic:

Structure

→ The truth is that all humans sin and fall short (Romans 3:23). We live in a world where people hurt each other; intentionally and unintentionally.

Word

- → "Forgive, and you will be forgiven." (Luke 6:37)
- → "While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

Forgiveness is not based on whether someone earns it; it's based on whether truth itself extends it.

Consequence

- → If you hold onto bitterness, it eats you.
- \rightarrow If you release them, it heals you.
- → And maybe, just maybe, it softens them too.

Forgiveness doesn't guarantee they'll change.

It guarantees you won't become like them in the process.

A Final Picture: The Open Door

Imagine holding a heavy door shut.

Behind it is every offense, every insult, every betrayal. You hold that door for years. Because they don't deserve to get out. Because it's *your* pain. *Your* wound. *Your* justice.

But every day you hold that door...

you're stuck there too. Forgiveness is opening the door.

Not to let them off the hook.

But to let *yourself* walk free. And when you do; you offer them freedom too, as you walk into the hands of the One who said:

"Forgive them. They know not what they do."

"Follow Me."

"And I will give you rest."

Final Thought: Why Forgiveness Isn't Optional

Forgiveness isn't about ignoring justice.

It's about trusting that God sees deeper than we do. Righteousness may not feel right.

But it is right.

It is the narrow path.

It is the strong tower.

It is the only way to break the world's cycle of revenge and become a vessel of light. You don't forgive because they deserve it.

You forgive because God forgave you.

And that's **not** impersonal healing.

That's world-changing alignment.